EXHIBIT T

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 3776-23 Filed 10/30/17 Page 2 of 6

JONES DAY

51 LOUISIANA AVENUE, N.W. • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001.2113
TELEPHONE: +1.202.879.3939 • FACSIMILE: +1.202.626.1700

DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 879.5572 SCOTTREAU@JONESDAY.COM

August 14, 2017

Via E-mail

Sean Carter, Esq.
J. Scott Tarbutton, Esq.
Cozen O'Connor
One Liberty Place
1650 Market Street, Suite 2800
Philadelphia, PA 19103
SCarter1@cozen.com
STarbutton@cozen.com

Robert T. Haefele, Esq. Motley Rice LLC 28 Bridgeside Blvd. Mount Pleasant, SC 29464 rhaefele@motleyrice.com

Re: In re Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001, 03 MDL 1570

Dear Sean, Scott and Bob:

I am writing to follow up on our meet and confer today. We appreciate your efforts to try to reach an agreement on the list of search terms. As we discussed, 47 search terms remain at issue. As you requested, we have attached a list of those terms as Attachment A. These terms consist of the following:

- a. 17 search terms to which Dubai Islamic Bank ("DIB") objected when raised in your earlier discovery requests. See Letter from K. Barlow to S. Carter (Mar. 22, 2017) (listing 16 standing objection terms); DIB's Reponses & Objections to Pltfs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 89, 90, 95 & 102 (Jan. 21, 2011) (objecting to "Human Appeal International").
- b. **28 search terms** that DIB previously identified as not relevant to a claim or defense of any party and unduly burdensome. *See* Letter from K. Barlow to S. Carter at App. A (Mar. 22, 2017).
- c. 2 search terms that Plaintiffs only recently sought to add. See Letter from S. Tarbutton to S. Cottreau (June 8, 2017).

ALKHOBAR • AMSTERDAM • ATLANTA • BEIJING • BOSTON • BRISBANE • BRUSSELS • CHICAGO • CLEVELAND • COLUMBUS • DALLAS DETROIT • DUBAI • DÜSSELDORF • FRANKFURT • HONG KONG • HOUSTON • IRVINE • JEDDAH • LONDON • LOS ANGELES • MADRID MEXICO CITY • MIAMI • MILAN • MINNEAPOLIS • MOSCOW • MUNICH • NEW YORK • PARIS • PERTH • PITTSBURGH • RIYADH SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO • SÃO PAULO • SHANGHAI • SILICON VALLEY • SINGAPORE • SYDNEY • TAIPEI • TOKYO • WASHINGTON

Last spring, we requested explanations from you for the inclusion of the 45 terms listed above in paragraphs a and b. On June 2, 2017, Plaintiffs have provided an explanation for only 15 of these 45 terms. See Letter from S. Tarbutton to K. Barlow. As we explained on the call, we continue to fail to see the relevance of those 15 terms to the allegations that you have made against DIB. Moreover, we have not received from you any explanation of the relevance of the remaining 30 terms.

Additionally, you raised additional inquiries in your letter dated June 8, 2016. You asked for clarification on search methodology. As we explained in our March 22, 2017 letter:

DIB will "search for exact matches on the search term in the full name accountholder field in DIB's electronic account record keeping system," Dkt. 3057, Ex. 3 at 3-4, and in the event that there is a match, DIB will produce "account opening documentation, complete account statements, and any electronic transaction data that exists in its primary legacy account record keeping system" from January 1, 1992 "through 12/31/2004." Tr. at 59; 60.

You also asked whether DIB will search for aliases or related entity accounts. DIB will provide each accounts that is identified by the methodology above, including accounts that match aliases and entity names that appear on the list of search terms that the parties are trying to negotiate. With respect to Saeed Ahmed Lootah, we will continue to search his account using the methodology that we previously described. *See, e.g.,* Dkt. 3057 at n.3. Lastly, you inquired about whether we produced any documents in response to the Court's order regarding the embassy bombings. We included responsive documents in our supplemental productions following the March 22, 2016 hearing.

We appreciate your willingness to reexamine your request for the inclusion of the 47 terms that remain at issue. If we can reach agreement, we stand ready as part of our efforts to compromise to search for the 624 terms—which is 124 more terms that Judge Maas permitted—consisting of the 618 terms in our letter of March 22, 2016 plus the 6 terms that we agreed to search from page 2 of your April 21, 2016 letter. We hope that we can avoid troubling the Court again with this issue.

Sincerely,

Steven T. Cottreau

ATTACHMENT A

- 1. Special Chechen Refugees Assistance Fund
- 2. International Islamic Relief Organization
- 3. International Islamic Charity Organization
- 4. Nablus Zakat Committee
- 5. Al Fujairah Charity International
- 6. Emirates Red Crescent
- 7. Al Ihsan Charitable Society
- 8. Dar al Bir Society
- 9. Jamiat Dubai al Heiriyah
- 10. Al Shamal Islamic Bank
- 11. Abdullah Azzam
- 12. Ali Amin al Rashidi
- 13. Abu Ubaidah al Banshiri
- 14. IIRO
- 15. Igatha
- 16. Hay'at al-Igatha al-Islamiya al-'Alamiyaa
- 17. Human Appeal International
- 18. Al Kdrow
- 19. Binjad Establishment
- 20. Healing Miracle Center
- 21. Rumat International
- 22. Romat
- 23. Sana Trading
- 24. Third World Relief Agency
- 25. Union Beverages Company
- 26. Union Beverage Factory
- 27. World Link Exchange

- 28. Abdelsamad Mohamed
- 29. Khalid Ali Walid
- 30. Mohamed Ahmed Obaid Bin Mahfouz
- 31. Saleh Salim al Shamsi
- 32. Dan International Trading & Investment Ltd.
- 33. Darbar Charity
- 34. Yasin Ahmed Mohamed Ali
- 35. Ahmed Mohamed Ali Yasin
- 36. Binladen Overseas (Pvt Ltd)
- 37. Fawaz Trading
- 38. Twaik Est.
- 39. Abdullah I. Lootah
- 40. Ahmed Ali Lootah
- 41. Mahmoud Hamra-Krouha
- 42. Abu Hamada
- 43. Saleh Abdelaziz Fahad
- 44. Sameer Mohamed Mahmoud
- 45. Yasser al Otaibi
- 46. Abd al Latif Al Imran
- 47. Sheikh Mohamed bin Saqar